We claim:

A method for creating a peer group database, said method comprising:
 collecting security transaction data for a preselected period of time, for a
 plurality of investment institutions, said transaction data including identity of
 securities being traded, transaction order sizes, execution prices and execution
 times;

grouping said transaction data into a plurality of orders;

calculating a plurality of cost benchmarks for each of said plurality of orders;

estimating transaction costs for each investment institution relative to said

cost benchmarks; and

storing said data.

- 2. The method as recited in claim 1, wherein said estimating step includes a step of regressing said transaction costs onto a plurality of percentiles.
- 3. The method as recited in claim 2, wherein said regressing step utilizes the formula:

$$X_i = \alpha_i + \beta_i f(S) + \gamma_i g(M) + \varepsilon_i$$

for percentiles i = 25, 40, 50, 60 or 75, and each percentile i is assumed to depend linearly on functions f and g of size (S) and momentum (M) respectively, and $(\alpha_i, \beta_i, \gamma_i)$ are regression parameters.

4. The method as recited in claim 3, wherein the regression parameters (α_i , β_i , γ_i) are estimated using (a) ordinary least squares (OLS), (b) weighted least squares

(WLS) with respect to OLS residuals (WLS1), and (c) WLS with respect to observations in each subdivision (WLS2).

- 5. The method as recited in claim 3, wherein functions f and g are set to be linear functions.
- 6. The method as recited in claim 1, wherein said plurality of cost benchmarks include:

a closing price C_{T-1} of the security on a day prior to the day of the execution of the corresponding order;

a volume-weighted average price VWAP across all trades for the security during the day of execution of the corresponding order;

a closing price C_{T+1} of the security on the first day after the day of execution of the corresponding order;

a closing price C_{T+20} of the security on the 20th day after the day of execution of the corresponding order;

an open price O_T of the security on the day of execution of the corresponding order; and

a prevailing midquote M_T of the security prior to the execution time of the corresponding order; and

wherein each of said plurality of benchmarks are calculated for each security for each order.

7. The method recited in claim 1, wherein said estimating step takes into consideration a number of cost factors per order.

- 8. The method recited in claim 6, wherein said estimating step takes into consideration a number of cost factors per order.
- 9. The method as recited in claim 8, wherein said regressing step utilizes the formula:

$$X_i = \alpha_i + \beta_i f(S) + \gamma_i g(M) + \varepsilon_i$$

for percentiles i = 25, 40, 50, 60 or 75, and each percentile i is assumed to depend linearly on functions f and g of size (S) and momentum (M) respectively, and $(\alpha_i, \beta_i, \gamma_i)$ are regression parameters; and

wherein transaction costs are regressed for each cost factors.

- 10. The method as recited in claim 9, wherein the regression parameters (α_i , β_i , γ_i) are estimated using (a) ordinary least squares (OLS), (b) weighted least squares (WLS) with respect to OLS residuals (WLS1), and (c) WLS with respect to observations in each subdivision (WLS2).
- 11. The method as recited in claim 9, wherein functions f and g are set to be linear functions.
- 12. The method as recited in claim 1, wherein said cost benchmarks are calculated in real-time as transactions are executed, and are stored in a database.
- 13. The method as recited in claim 1, wherein said estimating step is performed periodically for all transactions that occurred during a predetermined time frame.

14. A method for ranking a first institutional investor's security transaction cost performance relative to transaction costs of other institutional investors, said method comprising steps of:

collecting security transaction data for a preselected period of time, for a plurality of investment institutions, said transaction data including identity of securities being traded, transaction order sizes, execution prices, momentum and execution times;

grouping said transaction data into a plurality of orders;

calculating a plurality of cost benchmarks for each of said plurality of orders;

estimating transaction costs for each investment institution relative to said

cost benchmarks; and

ranking said first institutional investor against said plurality of investment institutions for at least one of a number of factors.

- 15. The method as recited in claim 14, wherein said estimating step includes a step of regressing said transaction costs onto a plurality of percentiles.
- 16. The method as recited in claim 15, wherein said regressing step utilizes the formula:

$$X_i = \alpha_i + \beta_i f(S) + \gamma_i g(M) + \varepsilon_i$$

for percentiles i = 25, 40, 50, 60 or 75, and each percentile i is assumed to depend linearly on functions f and g of size (S) and momentum (M) respectively, and $(\alpha_i, \beta_i, \gamma_i)$ are regression parameters.

- 17. The method as recited in claim 16, wherein the regression parameters (α_i , β_i , γ_i) are estimated using (a) ordinary least squares (OLS), (b) weighted least squares (WLS) with respect to OLS residuals (WLS1), and (c) WLS with respect to observations in each subdivision (WLS2).
- 18. The method as recited in claim 16, wherein functions f and g are set to be linear functions.
- 19. The method as recited in claim 14, wherein said plurality of cost benchmarks include:

a closing price C_{T-1} of the security on a day prior to the day of the execution of the corresponding order;

a volume-weighted average price VWAP across all trades for the security during the day of execution of the corresponding order;

a closing price C_{T+1} of the security on the first day after the day of execution of the corresponding order;

a closing price C_{T+20} of the security on the 20th day after the day of execution of the corresponding order;

an open price O_T of the security on the day of execution of the corresponding order; and

a prevailing midquote M_T of the security prior to the execution time of the corresponding order; and

wherein each of said plurality of benchmarks are calculated for each security for each order.

- 20. The method recited in claim 14, wherein said factors include size and momentum.
- 21. The method recited in claim 19, wherein said factors include size and momentum.
- 22. The method as recited in claim 21, wherein said regressing step utilizes the formula:

$$X_i = \alpha_i + \beta_i f(S) + \gamma_i g(M) + \varepsilon_i$$

for percentiles i = 25, 40, 50, 60 or 75, and each percentile i is assumed to depend linearly on functions f and g of size (S) and momentum (M) respectively, and $(\alpha_i$, β_i , γ_i) are regression parameters; and

wherein transaction costs are regressed for each cost factors.

- 23. The method as recited in claim 22, wherein the regression parameters (α_i , β_i , γ_i) are estimated using (a) ordinary least squares (OLS), (b) weighted least squares (WLS) with respect to OLS residuals (WLS1), and (c) WLS with respect to observations in each subdivision (WLS2).
- 24. The method as recited in claim 23, wherein functions f and g are set to be linear functions.
- 25. The method as recited in claim 14, wherein said cost benchmarks are calculated in real-time as transactions are executed, and are stored in a database.

- 26. The method as recited in claim 14, wherein said estimating step is performed periodically for all transactions that occurred during a predetermined time frame.
- 27. A system for ranking a first institutional investor's security transaction cost performance relative to transaction costs of other institutional investors, said system comprising:

processing means for collecting security transaction data for a preselected period of time, for a plurality of investment institutions, said transaction data including identity of securities being traded, transaction order sizes, execution prices, momentum and execution times, grouping said transaction data into a plurality of orders; calculating a plurality of cost benchmarks for each of said plurality of orders; estimating transaction costs for each investment institution relative to said cost benchmarks; and ranking said first institutional investor against said plurality of investment institutions for at least one of a number of factors; and

storing means for receiving data from said processing means, storing said data, and making data available to said processing means.

- 28. The system according to claim 27, wherein said processing means estimates the transaction costs by regressing said transaction costs onto a plurality of percentiles.
- 29. The system according to claim 28, wherein said processing means performs the regression by the formula:

$$X_i = \alpha_i + \beta_i f(S) + \gamma_i g(M) + \varepsilon_i,$$

for percentiles i = 25, 40, 50, 60 or 75, and each percentile i is assumed to depend linearly on functions f and g of size (S) and momentum (M) respectively, and $(\alpha_i, \beta_i, \gamma_i)$ are regression parameters.

- 30. The system according to claim 29, wherein the regression parameters (α_i , β_i , γ_i) are estimated using (a) ordinary least squares (OLS), (b) weighted least squares (WLS) with respect to OLS residuals (WLS1), and (c) WLS with respect to observations in each subdivision (WLS2).
- 31. The system according to claim 29, wherein functions f and g are set to be linear functions.
- 32. The system according to claim 27, wherein said plurality of cost benchmarks include:

a closing price C_{T-1} of the security on a day prior to the day of the execution of the corresponding order;

a volume-weighted average price VWAP across all trades for the security during the day of execution of the corresponding order;

a closing price C_{T+1} of the security on the first day after the day of execution of the corresponding order;

a closing price C_{T+20} of the security on the 20th day after the day of execution of the corresponding order;

an open price O_T of the security on the day of execution of the corresponding order; and

a prevailing midquote M_T of the security prior to the execution time of the corresponding order; and

wherein each of said plurality of benchmarks are calculated for each security for each order.

- 33. The system according to claim 27, wherein said factors include size and momentum.
- 34. The system according to claim 32, wherein said factors include size and momentum.
- 35. The system according to claim 34, wherein said processing means performs the regression by the formula:

$$X_i = \alpha_i + \beta_i f(S) + \gamma_i g(M) + \varepsilon_i$$

for percentiles i = 25, 40, 50, 60 or 75, and each percentile i is assumed to depend linearly on functions f and g of size (S) and momentum (M) respectively, and $(\alpha_i, \beta_i, \gamma_i)$ are regression parameters; and

wherein transaction costs are regressed for each cost factors.

36. The system according to claim 34, wherein the regression parameters (α_i , β_i , γ_i) are estimated using (a) ordinary least squares (OLS), (b) weighted least squares (WLS) with respect to OLS residuals (WLS1), and (c) WLS with respect to observations in each subdivision (WLS2).

- 37. The system according to claim 36, wherein functions f and g are set to be linear functions.
- 38. The system according to claim 27, wherein said cost benchmarks are calculated in real-time as transactions are executed, and are stored in a database.
- 39. The system according to claim 27, wherein said processing means performs periodically for all transactions that occurred during a predetermined time frame.
- 40. A system for ranking a first institutional investor's security transaction cost performance relative to transaction costs of other institutional investors, said system comprising:

a processing unit coupled with a network and configured to collect security transaction data for a pre-selected period of time, for a plurality of investment institutions, said transaction data including identity of securities being traded, transaction order sizes, execution prices, momentum and execution times, to group said transaction data into a plurality of orders, to calculate a plurality of cost benchmarks for each of said plurality of orders, to estimate transaction costs for each order relative to said cost benchmarks, and to store said data in a database; and

a database unit coupled with said processing unit and configured to communicate with said processing unit, store data and making data available to said processing unit.

- 41. The system according to claim 40, wherein said processing unit is further configured to estimate the transaction costs by regressing said transaction costs onto a plurality of percentiles.
- 42. The system according to claim 41, wherein said processing unit is further configured to perform the regression by the formula:

$$X_i = \alpha_i + \beta_i f(S) + \gamma_i g(M) + \varepsilon_i$$

for percentiles i = 25, 40, 50, 60 or 75, and each percentile i is assumed to depend linearly on functions f and g of size (S) and momentum (M) respectively, and $(\alpha_i, \beta_i, \gamma_i)$ are regression parameters.

- 43. The system according to claim 42, wherein the regression parameters (α_i , β_i , γ_i) are estimated using (a) ordinary least squares (OLS), (b) weighted least squares (WLS) with respect to OLS residuals (WLS1), and (c) WLS with respect to observations in each subdivision (WLS2).
- 44. The system according to claim 43, wherein functions f and g are set to be linear functions.
- 45. The system according to claim 44, wherein said plurality of cost benchmarks include:

a closing price C_{T-1} of the security on a day prior to the day of the execution of the corresponding order;

a volume-weighted average price VWAP across all trades for the security during the day of execution of the corresponding order;

a closing price C_{T+1} of the security on the first day after the day of execution of the corresponding order;

a closing price C_{T+20} of the security on the 20th day after the day of execution of the corresponding order;

an open price O_T of the security on the day of execution of the corresponding order; and

a prevailing midquote M_T of the security prior to the execution time of the corresponding order; and

wherein each of said plurality of benchmarks are calculated for each security for each order.

- 46. The system according to claim 45, wherein said factors include size and momentum.
- 47. The system according to claim 45, wherein said cost benchmarks are calculated in real-time as transactions are executed, and are stored in a database.
- 48. The system according to claim 45, wherein said processing unit performs estimates periodically for all transactions that occurred during a predetermined time frame.
- 49. The system according to claim 40, further comprising at least one client interface coupled with said database unit, said client interface configured to display a ranking for a selected institution based on said data stored in said database unit.

- 50. The system according to claim 49, wherein said client interface is configured to graphically display said ranking as bar graphs, said ranking shown as a percentage of a total range for a plurality of factors.
- 51. The system according to claim 49, wherein said client interface is configured to graphically display said ranking as bar graphs, said ranking shown as a percentage of a total range for each said cost benchmark.